

FEEDBACK

Volume 4, Issue 3

FEEDBACK shares excerpts of reports sent by VA personnel to PSRS. Actual quotes appear in italics. In May 2000, NASA and the VA initiated the PSRS, a voluntary, confidential, and non-punitive reporting system. PSRS encourages VA personnel to describe safety issues from their firsthand experience and to contribute their information to PSRS.

Relay Delays

94035-0004

PSRS has received reports related to clinical referrals for various procedures such as sleep studies, colonoscopies, audiology evaluations, and others. The following reports describe two common threads leading up to events: (1) long delays in outpatient scheduling tests; and (2) patients lost-to-follow-up during the procedure scheduling process.

The excerpts below are from five different PSRS reports received from VA staff.

Sleep Study Relay Delays

Two physician reports describe events related to the scheduling of sleep studies. The first reporter states:

• Patient with [marked] sleep apnea — very symptomatic — no test done after 2 consults... This is a... system lapse...to perform tests for a patient at risk of CHF, MI, arrhythmia...

The second physician reporter describes a patient with symptoms of sleep apnea who was lost to follow-up. The reporter states there was no follow-up contact with the patient who forgot and missed the appointment.

Colonoscopy Relay Delays

Three additional reporters expressed their concern when requesting colonoscopies. The third physician reporter discussed a lengthy delay in obtaining a colonoscopy procedure for a patient. In the PSRS analyst callback, this reporter revealed that the Primary Care Physician did order the consult. It is unknown where the message was relayed. This delay could have potentially complicated the patient's underlying condition.

A fourth physician reporter described another prolonged delay in a colonoscopy consult which appeared to be a clerical oversight as a result of the CPRS ordered consult.



A fifth physician describes a number of contributing issues when GI consults were submitted to GI Service:

• This is one example of many. Issues: 1. Subspecialty availability. 2. Failure to outsource to private sector. 3. Lack of tracking mechanism in CPRS. I have learned the hard way. You have to do this in your own paper record.

In the PSRS analyst callback with the reporter, this reporter went on to say that there was a backlog of numerous GI consults.

These reports reveal the importance of tracking and following up on consults or referrals. A phone call from the referring physician to the consulting service often ensures a successful referral, particularly in urgent cases.

There are relatively few articles that address being lostto-follow-up, and the scheduling process. Frequency for how often patients are lost-to-follow-up and their causes are varied. In one study of asymptomatic patients with positive fecal occult blood test, 41% did not receive follow-up testing¹. Another study looked at women with abnormal pap tests found that 41.1% of the women were lost-to-follow-up and that communication issues were the major reason². Other studies show smaller percentages of patients being lost-to-follow-up. Some of the reasons cited include socioeconomic factors, psychiatric issues, work commitments, and lack of symptoms³⁻⁹. The impact on outcomes of being lost-to-follow-up is difficult to assess. It is clear that being lost-to-follow-up is a problem, and communications issues play an important role. PSRS is preparing an analysis that will be available later this year of how communications impact patient safety....so stay tuned!

There are many tools that can potentially be used to identify the issues and prevent relay delays such as the Root Cause Analysis (RCA) process. You can learn more about RCA's from your Facility Patient Safety Manager. In addition, please continue to share these reports with PSRS!

PSRS has a New Website! http://psrs.arc.nasa.gov

¹Etzioni, D.A., et. al. (2006). Measuring the Quality of Colorectal Cancer Screening: The Importance of Follow-Up. Dis Colon Rectum.

²Thinkhamrop, J., et. al. (1998). Loss to follow-up of patients with abnormal Pap smear: magnitude and reasons. J Med Assoc Thai, Nov; 81(11):862-5.

³⁻⁹Additional sources can be found on our html version of this newsletter online at: http://psrs.arc.nasa.gov/htmlsite/publications.html

Execute an .exe Glitch

Did you know that PSRS encourages reporters to submit reports describing local solutions/safety ideas so that we can share them with VA staff nationwide? This physician reporter suggested a fix to CPRS that was successfully applied at their local facility:

◆ The order was signed by the [attending] physician today... the pharmacist goes into CPRS and looks into the detail of the order. The pharmacist realizes that the order signed and issued today was originally entered [by the same physician when this physician was a resident].

During the PSRS analyst callback, this reporter shared a local solution. The reporter states that they reviewed the CPRS situation at their facility and found other old, unsigned (unreleased or unfinished) POE med/patient orders that remained in the computer and that could be activated. The medical executive board took action to develop a policy which places a life-span limit on all unsigned med orders and authorizes pharmacy service (pharmacists) to "kill" unsigned orders exceeding this period of time.

The reporter explained that the unsigned and unreleased orders that exceed the time limitation could be electronically placed in a "separate file" or could be deleted altogether. This reporter believes a time limitation policy similar to that locally adopted would resolve this POE computer problem at other VA facilities.

Rules to Live By...

A nursing assistant sent a report to PSRS describing a policy change at their facility as a result of an event. As described in the PSRS analyst callback, the reporter works on a combined locked unit with both general psych and dementia patients. The reporter stated that non-clinical staff frequently feed patients if they want food. However, in this case, the involved patient was a known "choker" and indeed did choke on food fed to them by non-clinical staff.

The reporter stated that the local facility issued a new written policy related to this event: [non-clinical staff] may no longer give food or drink to patients. The reporter also noted that staff should be aware that even patient's families who visit a unit may try to feed other nearby patients. The reporter is not aware of a national policy on this issue.

Meet the Staff

Jim Frankfort, MD, a board certified Internist/Pulmonologist, joined the staff



of the Patient Safety Reporting System as the Program Manager in October 2005.

Prior to joining our team, Jim was Chief Medical Officer and Director of Medical Informatics for seven years at Resolution Health Inc., a data analytics company focusing on increasing quality and reducing the cost of healthcare. Prior to that, he was involved in developing clinical guidelines and assessing quality of care for a large hospital system.

Jim maintained a private practice in pulmonary disease and critical care for 13 years prior to entering the healthcare quality and safety field on a full-time basis. He possesses a deep understanding of Quality/Process Improvement, Medical Informatics, and Information Technology. When he's not managing the PSRS team, Jim can be found spending time with his family, working in the yard, exercising, or fixing what his kids have broken!

PSRS report forms and past issues of *FEEDBACK* are available on the VA intranet as well as the PSRS website.

You may subscribe to *FEEDBACK* at no cost by going to our website and clicking "Contact Us" or by mailing your request to:

PSRS, P.O. Box 4 Moffett Field, CA 94035-0004

http://psrs.arc.nasa.gov

PLEASE SEND US YOUR SAFETY CONCERNS!



National Aeronautics and Space Administration MS IHS 262-7 Ames Research Center Moffett Field, California 94035-1000